

FORT LUPTON PUBLIC AND SCHOOL LIBRARY
LIBRARY BOARD MINUTES
Thursday, August 19, 2021

I. Call to Order Lia Inge Called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm.

II. Roll Call Teri Kopfman called roll.

Present at the meeting were Lia Inge, Teri Kopfman, Gerri Holton, and Evelyn Harlan. Sharon Ceretto was present remotely. Sharon Day and Chantel Hays were excused.

Also present were FLP&S Library Director Thomas Samblanet, Adult and Teen Services Librarian Julia Dandio, School District Weld Re-8 Board president Susan Browne, and Administrative Assistant Mary Edens taking notes.

III. Approval of Agenda Thomas asked to add Evelyn Harlan under new business on the agenda. **Gerri Holton made a motion to approve the amended agenda. Evelyn Harlan seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

IV. Approval of Minutes Teri Kopfman asked for clarification on page 4 by adding the word “land” before contract. **Sharon Ceretto made a motion to approve the amended July 15, 2021 minutes. Gerri Holton seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.** Gerri asked to review the attorney invoices and she will contact Mary.

V. Public Comments No public attended the meeting.

VI. Reports -

i. City Report--No one was present from the City hence there was no City report.

ii. Weld Re-8 Report—Susan Browne gave the School report stating that school has started and there are no problems so far. She also said that Jim Roedel is now the COO of the school district and no longer the assistant principal of the high school. Susan continued that the board granted a leave of absence to Alan Kaylor during the last school year and at that time he was told that he needed to share his job. Alan Kaylor is delegating duties with Jim such as the budget, HR, and other business aspects. Marci Hester remains in charge of the district’s curriculum, instruction, and mentoring. Cora Lanter is the new high school athletic director and assistant principal. The middle school has a new principal and Butler has a new assistant principal. Teri commented that this is a season of huge turnover in education.

iii. Director’s Report (written) Thomas highlighted some information from his report. He clarified the information on the amount budgeted for Workman’s comp and said that effectively 3 years ago we switched carriers and that is the reason for the change in the budget. Thomas continued that the following year we had to pay a premium because there was an incident so last year was the correct rate and we can plan on that amount moving forward.

Thomas mentioned that the funds for High School library have been moved into account specifically for the High School Library.

As of Monday, we have been providing a snack for students after school in conjunction with Weld Food Bank. Sharon Ceretto said she had a discussion with some people from the FL food bank and they were concerned that they were not contacted about partnering with this service. Thomas said that Cindy reached out to both food banks and Weld County responded. Lia asked Sharon Ceretto for specific names so that Thomas could contact them, and Sharon told her that he could talk with Linda Hunt. Gerri commented that this is a great idea. Teri asked to clarify dates for Trapper’s day petting zoo and Thomas told her that the date is September 11, 2021. The Library 100th Birthday celebration date was discussed and questioned the October 2nd date. Thomas said he’d check into it and share the correct date with the board. Gerri asked what TAB stood for and Julia said it is the Teen Advisory Board.

iv. Committee Reports

1. Sunshine Fund (Ms. Holton) Gerri reported that the amount is the same, \$41.

VII. New Business

i. Evelyn Harlan—Lia welcomed Evelyn Harlan and mentioned that the City approved her appointment on Tuesday. Thomas mentioned that we would like the board to confirm that their contact information is correct on our document so that we can update the board’s contact list. Thomas said that he will provide the contact information document at the next board meeting.

ii. Julia Dandio—Lia introduced Julia to the board, and Julia shared her background. Julia is from Connecticut and moved to Colorado in 2018. She has officially worked in libraries since she was 16 but she volunteered before then by volunteering to be a page and then worked her way up. She received her MLAS at Simmons University in 2017. She was a youth services librarian for a year or so then worked as an outreach librarian in Aurora and now she is here. Julia said that she loves working with Teens. She said she has been here about a month and she is getting situated. Currently she is talking with professors at AIMS to come in and provide a lecture series about art history, local history, a film night, or something of the sort.

She has started TAB which stands for Teen Advisory Board that provides teens the opportunity for volunteer credit hours and/or input on programs at their monthly meetings. Julia shared information on the 100th anniversary with a life-sized Candy Land and the Museum’s exhibit on history of the library. She shared that there will be an adult craft night with Sea Glass art on September 17, 2021 and that there will be adult craft programs on the 3rd Friday of the month. Sharon

Ceretto asked if registration is required for the programs and Julia answered that there will be a sign-up sheet and a limit of 12 people, but she may be increasing it to 16 people if there is interest. The time for the adult programs will be 5:30 pm to 7:30 pm.

Lia asked how she will pick TAB members and Julia answered that she has an application process in place where the teens will agree to meet once a month, be respectful, and share their interests. She is accepting applications from eight graders and up and she'll be screening the applicants after August 31st. Julia will have no more than 10-12 students on TAG. Gerri said that previously the group had shaped the programs we provided and she commented that we used to have high school students employed at the library and a high school student as a member of the Library Board. Teri mentioned that the high school business teacher may want to have the students come in and get some work experience.

- iii. Upcoming Programing--Thomas reported the big piece of upcoming programing is Trapper's Day and the Library's Centennial Celebration. Thomas asked staff if they wanted help from library board members during Trapper's Day and they said they would welcome any board member's assistance. Staff suggested that the board members could serve cookies and tea as has been done in the past. Staff also mentioned that if a board member would get breakfast for the staff working the event it would be very appreciated. The board members were asked to let Thomas know if you can help and what they could do to help. Thomas said he will pass out some information about programs at the meeting today and at the future board meetings. He has asked Ryann to prepare the monthly calendar prior to board meetings so that he can share the calendar with the board.
- iv. Library Hours--Thomas said that it is the beginning of the school year he would like our hours to change back to previous hours which is opening Monday through Thursday at 7:30 am and closing at 7:00 pm. On Friday we will be open from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm and on Saturday we will be open from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm. Lia said that she thought that state law requires that a school library must be open when school is in session, but she was concerned how extending the hours could affect the budget with increasing the hours staff is working. Thomas said during the week there will be no increase and a slight increase on Saturday of a half hour for three staff members. Thomas said that he would like to have the board approve the increase so that he can implement the new hours on Monday. Sharon Ceretto asked if Thomas had tracked usage to warrant the increase of the hours and Thomas said he did not but that he was trying to get back to the hours we were open before the pandemic. **Teri Kopfman made a motion to increase the hours the library is open to 7:30 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Thursday, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm on Friday, and 9:00 am to 5:00 pm on Saturday. Sharon Ceretto seconded the motion. The vote was approved unanimously.**
- v. Board Shirts – Thomas shared a picture of an embroidered shirt with the Library's Logo that Ryann Money suggested for the board. Thomas said it would be up to the board members if they wanted to purchase the shirts but that the outreach budget could cover the costs.
- vi. Attorney – Thomas said that this specific topic came about because Gerri had concerns about John Chmil as the library's legal representation. Gerri continued and said that she has concerns with John Chmil such as possibly incorrect information at last board meeting, he did not ask questions at the meeting, and he didn't clarify information or perform research. Gerri added that one of her concerns was addressed in executive session. Gerri thought that we could explore other options for representation and the board could think about choosing an attorney from a bigger pool, not just what was suggested and then hired by the City. Lia asked if Teri or Sharon Ceretto if they knew why John Chmil was hired. Teri didn't remember the exact selection process but she and Sharon Ceretto recalled that the board was told at that time that he had expertise and knowledge about library law. Teri suggested to ask HPLD for advice on who they would recommend or who they know is strong in Colorado Library Law. Lia said the board received advise about executive session and she wanted to know whether the board feels that a search is needed. Thomas said that he had reached out to Andy Asmus who advised him that this should not be discussed in regular session and he also reached out to John Chmil to let him know the board is having this discussion. Gerri said it's important that we have confidence in representation while going into negotiations with the new IGA. Thomas shared that he received correspondence from John Chmil which gave the reason he had a change in stance, it was because of his learning curve about the unique Fort Lupton situation. Thomas continued that for the past eight months he has been on point with his recommendations. Gerri said that it may not be his fault, but he was not forthcoming about situation. Thomas said there were a few other wrinkles in situation and that we may not be able to hire another attorney. Thomas said that we are not able to achieve a unique tax-exempt code and we are not able to hire an attorney because we are a joint library. Thomas then said he has some level of concern with the city believing that John is a trusted advisor but that the board feels we may need other representation. Lia said that there is a couple of options we have if we want to search for a new attorney such as forming a committee to help, but we need to discover if the board is in favor of acquiring a new attorney. **Gerri**

Holton moved to open a search for new attorney to represent FLP&S library. There was not a second to that motion.

VIII. Continuing Business

- i. Director- Thomas reported that at the last meeting he was the Interim Director and now he has been selected as the Director. He said he is excited to be working with everyone and alongside the board to continue building a wonderful library. He said he will be hosting a Meet the Director next Tuesday from 4:00-7:00 pm. Thomas wants everyone to know his door is open to answer questions or listen to concerns. He has set out his business cards with his email and phone number at the circ desks that staff is encouraged to share with interested parties. He has reached out to the rec. center to attend the senior lunch and potentially the senior committee to introduce himself. He continued to share that adult programming is his passion and he wants everyone to be aware of everything the library provides.

Thomas has begun the search for the Assist Director and will be interviewing on Monday, he is hoping to fill the position in 2-4 weeks. There were 18 applications and 3 finalists. Teri asked if the candidates were from Colorado and Thomas answered that 2 are from Colorado and 1 is from out of state.

- ii. Land Update– Thomas Samblanet reported that the land purchase is moving forward very smoothly. He attended the ribbon cutting ceremony on Monday for the new road where the new library will be located. The last piece of the purchase of the land is getting the land level which is being worked on right now so we could potentially close earlier than scheduled. Thomas stated that he understood that Dan reached out to Daniel and he reached out to Roy who will get the information from the developer. The soil evaluation is last piece.

- iii. MOU on land--Lia brought Evelyn up to speed with situation. The Memorandum of Understanding states that if the land is sold in the future that the funds will come back to the library. Gerri was concerned about some language on #12 because the meaning is not clear to her. A discussion followed that it states that there will be no oral agreement about the land, the land will not be used for collateral, or there will be no additional understanding between any of the parties. Thomas clarified specifically that this MOU may be assigned only upon the written approval by the non-signing party which is the library so it's upon our approval this document can be signed by the other entities. Teri said that the parties have no reason not to sign it because it clarifies what will happen in the future. Lia said she feels that it makes clear over and over where the funds for the building originally came from and that's where they should be returned to which is the library. Gerri read #10 and stated that she isn't sure what it means, and Thomas offered to investigate this and added that the other entities will also be examining the document. Lia asked if it is possible to approve as is or if we should wait for the clarification from John Chmil. Sharon Ceretto felt that it spelled everything out well and she shared that many years ago there was a piece of ground that was supposed to be a new museum, but the city took over the property so she was concerned that this could happen here. Sharon continued that everything seems to be clear, but she'd like to look at it longer. **Teri Kopfman moved to approve the MOU as we have received it and Evelyn Harlan seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.** Gerri asked about sending the MOU to the City and School District with a date in mind. The discussion following revealed that it should be separate from the IGA discussions.

- iv. Building Update– Teri Kopfman reported that meeting was very thorough, short, and precise. Daniel was very good at explaining the process. There were people attending that were not at the previous meetings and they had brought up some other potential interest. Thomas said he will have a meeting next week restarting the building process in earnest. Lia asked if there will be one more public meeting and Thomas answered that it will be in the Fall.

- v. **Policies Changes**--Thomas lead the discussion on areas for consideration and he supplied documents with supporting information for the discussion. Thomas researched Colorado Library Law, the past IGAs, the existing IGA, and the previous bylaws so that he could approach this as objectively as he could. He stated that he is not a lawyer and just wanted to find out where we stand.

The first document that was discussed was a section of the Colorado Library Law, specifically on the establishment of joint libraries in item #249107 article E. This provision that says upon establishment of a joint library or library district and after appointment of a library board of trustees, a written agreement between the legislative bodies of each participating governmental unit and library board of trustees shall be effective within 90 days, which time frame may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties. The agreement shall set forth fully the rights, obligations, and responsibilities financial and otherwise of all parties to the agreement including provisions concerning the transition from the library to a library district such as ownership of the library's real and personal property, personnel, and provision of the administrative services during the transition to the method of trustees selection. The agreement states that other necessary terms and conditions may be determined by the parties. Thomas said he wanted to put it before the board because in

Colorado Library Law it states that for joint libraries the IGA sets forth fully the responsibilities of board of Trustees. Thomas continued that there is a little more at the end of the document where it states for joint libraries the trustees shall be appointed by the legislative bodies of the participating governmental units unless otherwise specified in the contract. This confirms that we are doing things the way they should be done.

Thomas also supplied copies of each of the IGAs and spoke about the original 1976 IGA that which starts by specifying specifically that there are two parties involved in the creation of the library, the Weld Re-8 School District and the City of Fort Lupton. It then outlines three provisions starting with whereas the parties desire to seek the advice, assistance, and recommendations of such library board providing library materials, employment of staff, personnel, and the operation and maintenance of said library system. The initial IGA clearly outlines that the School District and the City Council were looking at this board as an advisory board not governing in any capacity. Thomas read section (g) which specifically concerns the hiring of the director. It states an advisory committee consisting of the Assistant Superintendent of Schools, the WLD or HPLD Director, and the Director of Media Service of Weld BOCES shall screen applicants for the director of the Fort Lupton Public & School Library. An interviewing committee consisting of the Assistant Superintendent of Weld Re-8, the Principal of the High School, two municipally appointed members of the FLP&S Board, the Director of Media Service of Weld BOCES, and the Director of the WLD or the HPLD shall recommend most favorable applicants to the Fort Lupton Public & School Library Board which in turn will select the library director. Thomas said there is a contradiction in the article immediately afterwards that states that (h) the final approval of the director shall be exclusively within the jurisdiction of and by the Board of Education School District Re-8 as provided for by the laws and statutes of the state of Colorado. At all times such director shall be an employee of the school district.

Thomas read another provision about the budget that he said repeats through the IGAs. It states that (i) the director of the Fort Lupton Public & School Library shall develop and recommend the annual budget to be presented in written form to the City Council and Board of Education. Monthly financial and material circulation reports shall be provided to the City Council and Board of Education and other designated agencies. This establishes at the onset that the library board was intended to be an advisory capacity and that the library director be selected by library board but hired by the school board and that the School District would have final approval of the library budget every year. Thomas said it was interesting that in the 1985-89 IGA most of the wording was maintained but when it comes to hiring the director the language stays the same except that there is a committee that recommends the director, but the final approval is exclusively the Board of Education School District Re-8.

The 1995 IGA once again refers to the library board as being advisory in the third paragraph. It maintains consistent language on the hiring of the director with the previous IGA and both documents repeatedly refer to the final approval of hiring the director herein shall be exclusively within the jurisdiction of and by the Board of Education. But it maintains that the library board helps with the preparation and recommendation of the budget to the city and school board.

Thomas commented that then things get a little unusual in the 2005 & 2013 IGAs. In the 2005 IGA it has removed the section at the beginning but specifically refers to library board in an advisory capacity, but some language stays the same where we can potentially concur that there was still an intention that the board be an advisory board. All language on hiring the director remains the same and it continues to refer to the library board and the director recommending the annual budget to the City and School for final approval.

Thomas said that a lot of the confusion with all of this comes from the 2013 IGA. In the 2013 IGA a lot of the language is removed at the beginning specifically referring to the library board in an advisory role. However, in section 5 Management of Library it states that the library board of trustees shall report to the City and School District in an advisory capacity. So, the language has remained the same, but as we've discovered in our deliberations with Bylaws there are no discussions specifically on how to hire the director and the discussion on preparing the budget changes considerably almost to the original IGA. This IGA changes in the Obligations of the City, section 1-article E-number 2, it states that the city will prepare an annual budget for the library in October each year which shall include a reimbursement to the School District for utility costs when school is not in session.

Thomas stated that there was a substantial change from existing IGAs to these new IGAs which is causing confusion when trying to create bylaws and policies. Thomas researched the way our bylaws referred to these documents to understand 1995 bylaws which is the oldest he could find. In section 4 it talks specifically about the chain of command. It states under most circumstances the library board shall make recommendations to the superintendent and the city administrator who may take recommendations to his governing board. However, the library board reserves the right to

approach the Board of Education or City directly on any issue. Thomas said that this makes it clear that the library board at the time understood that the City and School District will make all the final discussions that were made based on the library board's recommendations. In article 5 of these bylaws pertaining to hiring the director the library board acknowledges that they shall recommend a qualified library director to the board of education for employment as provided in the contract between the School and the City. Gerri added that the second sentence says the library director shall be the executive and administrative officer of the library on behalf of the library board and under its direction and evaluation. Gerri continued that is part of the issue with the current situation, under the school this was intact, and the director was an administrator on the level of the superintendent and did not have a supervisor so they were accountable to the board. In the current structure the library director is an employee of and under the supervision of the city administrator which totally changes the meaning. Thomas said that he will talk about that in a minute, but he is trying to build a case throughout so we all can see how it appears as though things were created and how things have changed. Thomas continued to say that there was a general path that the original IGA set upon but the 2013 IGA changed dramatically, and 2015 Bylaws maintain a lot of the same language. It upholds that the director is in command and then it becomes Section 4.6 which is similar on hiring director in almost identical language to the previous set of Bylaws which on some level fall in line with the previous IGAs. Thomas said that the most intriguing thing to him was that these 2015 bylaws were after 2013 IGA with the substantial changes and they were the bylaws that were voted on and approved without updating and falling in line with the bylaws which is where we started to add confusion.

Thomas continued that in the 2019 Bylaws, which we have been discussing, there are some interesting pieces such as the article 4.6 in the 1995 that is discussing the chain of command which has been removed and section 8 Powers and Duties has been created. Our original draft was that the library board shall have duties and responsibilities set forth in Authorized Powers under Colorado Library Law, and as such may be revised from time to time. In addition, the library board shall have all powers necessary or incidental to the specific powers granted by statute. Thomas mentioned that this didn't exist in previous sets of bylaws and he continued that following the chain that he's made seems to say that powers and duties stated in the IGA, which is the governmental document as has been established in Colorado Library Law, put forth the powers and responsibilities of each party. Thomas said he understands why Section 8 is of concern to city staff and he is concerned because it creates a loop that doesn't really say anything. The section 8 provided by the city is correct for what actually is happening.

In Section 29 and 30, which has been changed dramatically from previous sets of bylaws, it states that the director is employed by the city under the recommendation of the board which is the way it's written with no specific outline about how the director is being hired. Thomas said that without that being outlined in the document our bylaws are providing something to the city that they may not need to follow, and he stated that he understands why it's of concern to the library board. Section 30 states the duties of the library director are based off the way the 2013 IGA is written. There is still confusion because the only reference to staff in the 2013 IGA is that staff reports to the city without the specific language about who the library director reports to which previously was in every single IGA. Thomas said he understands why the city is concerned about this and he finds the differences between the past IGAs intriguing. Thomas stated that on the last page of Section 30 it needs to say that the Bylaws and Policies need to be ratified by the City and The School District which is consistent with previous bylaws.

Thomas shared that he understands why there is a substantial level of confusing with library board as to where they stand. He said that he was hoping to establish with this discussion of the previous IGAs and bylaws it would make it clear that the 2019 bylaws we are proposing right now don't necessarily fall in line with the 2013 IGA. Thomas said that he sees a substantial change from a consistent series of documents leading up to the 2013 IGA which is significantly different. Thomas said that what he is hoping to propose to the board, especially with the new building coming up, is that there needs to be a discussion for a new IGA outlining what responsibilities lie with each party. He suggested to move forward with discussions on the new IGA between the three governmental units and try to have steps where the library board is specifically involved with hiring the director and preparing the budget.

Teri said that the library is meant to be of the community, this board is the community, a lot of other boards have other things that they are interested in and don't come into the library to be involved in this manner. Teri continued that the library board could have more say even though the world has gotten more complex with legal issues, HR processes, and path of the money, but in general the board needs more say in operations and the concerns for who is in the shoes in this building so it would be nice for the board to have more involvement. Gerri said she thought that a

lot of this could be solved if everyone was involved, and everyone was included in the decision-making, bylaws being drafted, and the policies by the board to be approved by the city and school district. Gerri continued that having the best representation on the board is another way for the city and school district to be directly involved in the library board business. Gerri added to look at best practices, what is the best way for the library to operate, and she added that if we start on what Thomas's work brings out which is the original intent. Gerri said that the documentation is helpful by having somebody objective look at all this material and Gerri thought Thomas was in a good position to do that.

Thomas was hoping to present a proposal to the school board and the city of a committee involving a board member from each unit to start a committee for the new IGA to review. Lia said at this point we need to focus on the new IGA especially with new building and deal with bylaws and policy afterwards because they are going to have to be done with the new building. A discussion about the two facilities and how to prepare for the new situation followed. Thomas thought that a smaller committee would be more productive such as six people consisting of a board member and staff from each entity. Thomas continued that unfortunately, the best we can do is advise the City and School District on that path and make a compelling case that it is best for everyone to have good communication with each entity, transparency, and open discussions then Thomas added that it seems logical and fair to ask. Gerri mentioned that the initial document says in concurrence with the library board which would put us in the mix, and she feels strongly that the library board needs to be involved in the IGA. Thomas said that he will not advocate for governance but that it's pretty clear that these original documents want a check in with the Library Board on each of these pieces to make recommendations so by not outlining the situation there is a potential that the library board will be cut out and it's fair to ask to ensure involvement in moving forward. Thomas has mentioned the idea to Alan Kaylor and Chris Cross, and both seemed agreeable, but they have concerns on how their boards will respond. Lia said that Chris has shown some concerns about the timing of creating a committee and she said that Chris had suggested on waiting until after elections. A discussion about the elections and how it could affect the IGA committee and approaching the council and board ensued. Thomas said we could propose it to the city and school board if the library board would like or wait until after the elections to save headaches. Gerri said it should transcend who ever is on the board, but Lia was concerned if someone volunteers to be on the committee but doesn't get elected. Gerri said that this could give us time to craft our library position and Sharon Ceretto shared that the elections are not that far off. Lia asked for a motion to form a committee for the new IGA and to look at the new building and circumstances of the HS library. **Gerri Holton moved that the FLP&S library board form an IGA committee to review recommendations for the new IGA. Teri Kopfman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

Lia asked for two volunteers for the IGA committee. Sharon Ceretto said that she'd like to see Gerri sit on the committee because of her experience and Gerri said she would like to serve on this committee. Sharon Ceretto said she'd like also to like to serve on the committee. Thomas clarified that this committee is being formed to look at best practices and have us be prepared for post-elections when we will propose forming a committee with the city and school district. **Evelyn Harlan moved to form a committee of Gerri Holton and Sharon Ceretto to look at new IGA for new building and new circumstances for the High School. Teri Kopfman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

vi. **Bylaw Changes** were discussed previously.

vii. **Art Show Committee** – Teri reported that she and Chantel worked on updating the guidelines and the poster. Teri continued that the guidelines are like the last 2 years with some updates about the age groups and the dates and she shared that there can be two entries per person. Teri took her experience with other shows she has worked on for High School student shows. Ryann created a poster for the Art Show and she will start distributing it soon. The show is for ages 16 and over that live in the school district. Teri said that maybe in the future we can make it a regional show, but not now.

IX. Board comments Sharon asked if someone could participate in the art show if they have an active HPLD library card. Teri answered that the participants must live in the library service area which mirrors the Re-8 area and has nothing to do with a library card.

Thomas shared that he had a meeting with Alan Kaylor last week and Alan pulled in Jim Roedel. They had an extensive conversation about the way operations are going to be handled moving forward.

Gerri is pleased that programing was up, that we're back on track, and that we have a great team. She is excited and appreciated Susan being here.

Thomas said that everyone should have the board calendar in their packet. Thomas told the board about a comment from a patron who recently moved here from Japan who left a complimentary comment.

Sharon wanted to share that the library donated a sewing machine for a drawing during Trapper's Day. Sharon Ceretto also shared that the library is more friendly and welcoming, Thomas is developing a

good staff that is more friendly, and she mentioned how welcoming Maria is to the patrons. Sharon continued that we are heading in the right direction. Lia agreed and likes the feeling all around.

Susan Browne asked about a communication from Kim Parker from HPLD. She said in the past the school board has shown up and the city was chosen to stay at the meeting. Gerri said that she thought the City and School District could alternate. Susan will contact Kim for more information.

X. Development of next meeting agenda

- Land update
- Building update
- Art Show
- Assistant director
- Programs
- Trapper's Day

XI. Adjourn 8:26 pm Gerri Holton made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Sharon Ceretto seconded that motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Next Meeting: September 16, 2021 at 6:30 pm.

Submitted respectfully by Mary Hoffman Edens